

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2434

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -16)

On April 8, 2013, the Panel transferred 7 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* F.Supp.2d (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 145 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Seibel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of April 8, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel


Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Sep 17, 2013

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

A CERTIFIED COPY
RUBY J. KRAJICK, CLERK

BY


Deputy Clerk

**IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2434

SCHEDULE CTO-16 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARKANSAS WESTERN			
ARW	1	13-01066	Sterling v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	8	13-01297	Murel-Osorio v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	9	13-80818	Roque v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	13-02700	Danley v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02782	Thompson v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02783	Van Dusen v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02784	Armas v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
LOUISIANA WESTERN			
LAW	2	13-00921	White v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc
LAW	5	13-01979	MacDonald v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	13-02305	Ritzert v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
MN	0	13-02337	Slone v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN			
NCE	4	13-00201	McAdam v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
TEXAS SOUTHERN			

Case MDL No. 2434 Document 304 Filed 09/17/13 Page 3 of 3

TXS	4	13-02491	Olatunbosun v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
TXS	4	13-02492	Valdez v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.